Single Bench (U)

IN THE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

WRIT PETITION(S) NO. 6783 OF 2006

PETITIONER : Yashwant Lal Dahre, aged about 37 years, Son of Shri Punauram, Occupation Service, Assistant Teacher, Govt. Middle School Tohda, Block Tilda, District Raipur (C.G.).

P.R. No. 8903/06
Presented by Shri Uma patel
dated 30/11/06

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS :1. State of Chhattisgarh, Through Secretary, Department of School Education, D.K.S. Bhawan Raipur (C.G.).

RECEIVED-COPY
30/11/06
CLERK to A. G. BILASPUR

2. Director, Public Instructions Raipur (C.G.).

3. District Education Officer, Raipur (C.G.).

29/11/06

4. Principal, Govt. Higher Secondary School Tohda, Block Tilda, District Raipur (C.G.).

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLES 226 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA

The petitioner most respectfully states as under:-

XI-HC-78

(30)

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

मामला क्रमांक W.P.(S)...6.7.0.3 **सन् 200** 6

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश

11.12.2006

Smt. Hamida Siddique, learned counsel for the petitioner.
Ms. Sunita Jain, learned P.L. for the State/respondents.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner was appointed as Assistant Teacher in the year 1994 on probation for a period of two years. His services were regularized on 6.9.1996 and he was given regular salary. The wife of the petitioner had undergone Tubectomy operation on 16.11.1994 after giving birth to two children and accordingly Green Card was issued by the Primary Health Centre, Abhanpur. As per circular of Annexure P/1 the petitioner was entitled for two advanced increments, however, the petitioner has not been given advanced increments despite his representation of Annexure P/4 for the same and he has been denied the advanced increments vide memo of Annexure P/7.

On the other hand, learned counsel for the State/respondents submits that the petition may be disposed of with a direction to the petitioner to make a representation before the concerned authorities and that shall be decided by the authorities in accordance with law.

P.T.O.

XI-HC-78

(21)

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

मामला क्रमांक W.P.(S) 6783 **सन् 2017**

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	Having heard learned counsel for the parties, the writ petition is disposed of with a direction to the petitioner to make a representation within a period of two weeks from today to the competent authority, raising all his grievances which shall be decided by the competent authority in an objective manner within a period of three months from the date of receipt of such representation on its merits after affording an opportunity of hearing to the petitioner. **Sd/-** **Dhirendra Mishra** **Judge**	

P.T.O.